



Using Data Analytics to Automate and Enhance the Commissioning Process

Course Number: CXENERGY1917



John Petze SkyFoundry

April 17, 2019

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request. CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

This course is registered with AIA



Copyright Materials

This presentation is protected by US and International Copyright laws. Reproduction, distribution, display and use of the presentation without written permission of the speaker is prohibited.



https://skyfoundry.com/

© SkyFoundry 2019



Course Description

Consultants involved in commissioning, energy analysis, energy management and Monitoring and Verification have found data analytics software to be a powerful tool that enables them to transform the services to their clients.

Today's Data Analytics software allows them to automate the analysis that has traditionally required continuous manual effort, and provide clients with new, ongoing consultation-based service offerings to help continuously improve facility performance and eliminate the backwards-drift seen in many energy conservation projects.

This presentation will provide case study examples demonstrating how analytics software was applied to the commissioning process and its role in delivering significant financial results and help owners and operators make energy and operational efficiency improvements permanent.



Learning Objectives

At the end of the this course, participants will be able to:

1. Examples of common and useful analytic rules that can be applied to HVAC systems and KPI's to track building energy performance.

2. The difference between alarms, analytics and analysis tools.

3. The role of data tagging to prepare equipment data for analytics.

4. How including utility tariff rates brings deeper understanding of performance, and equipment operating patterns.



The Role of Data in Commissioning, M&V, Energy Analysis and Reporting

Data is the fundamental element for commissioning, energy analysis, energy management and Monitoring & Verification

More and more data sources are available today: BAS, Metering, Utility APIs for consumption and rate data, weather

There is more data available than humans can deal with manually

We need tools

Analytics software is that tool





The Role of Analytics

Analytics software tools enable us to automate and streamline the tasks involved in acquiring, processing, analyzing, and reporting data for commissioning, energy analysis and M&V



Traditional Approaches



- Manually interpret and process csv files
- View graphics of equipment systems
- Review reports or history logs
- Import data into Excel for manual analysis
- Manually assemble reports
- No single tool that works across all kinds of data
- How do you do this today?



Applying analytics to our data



Step 1: Acquiring Data

Communication connectors enable direct access to data...

- Streaming data from control/BAS systems via Protocols such as: BACnet, Modbus, Haystack, OPC UA, Obix (supports polling, watches, trend log synchs)
- Batch import of data (CVS, XML, JSON, formats)
- Existing Data from databases: SQL and similar
- Software automates data acquisition and storage in a normalized format



| <i>™</i> ₽₽₽ | et 🛾 Project 🎙 | Haystack | SQL Server | SNMP | SPC UA |
|--------------|----------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Modbus | $\{ \text{ REST} \}$ | | PortfolioManag | er° ⊵B | IX sedona |



Step 1: Normalizing Diverse Data

Data from different sources comes in different formats with different time stamps and different semantic information (descriptive information)

In order to do analysis across diverse data that data needs to be normalized

Data normalization is a key part of the process with analytics software is the



Normalizing Diverse Data – Semantic Tagging

- Give the data meaning via "tags"
- No need to maintain rigid schemas add tags whenever you want to capture information

Tags represent

- Dimensions, units
- Relationships, associations
- Location
- Other meaning and descriptors

| dis | elecMeter | equip | hvac | lighting | plug | siteMeter |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|------|----------|------|-----------|
| Headquarters ElecMeter-Main | Y | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Bon Air ElecMeter-Main | ∢ | ∢ | | | | ∢ |
| Va Beach ElecMeter-Lighting | 1 | ∢ | | 1 | | |
| Woodley Park ElecMeter-Main | 1 | ∢ | | | | 1 |
| Inner Harbor ElecMeter-Main | 1 | ∢ | | | | 1 |
| Bon Air ElecMeter-Hvac | 1 | ∢ | ∢ | | | |
| Inner Harbor ElecMeter-Lighting | 4 | ∢ | | | | |

Project 🏷 Haystack





Project Haystack Is...



- A community of people working to address one of the key challenges in using smart device data...
- **THE CHALLENGE**: Device data has poor "semantic modeling" (information describing the meaning of the data)
- A manual, labor intensive process is required to "map" the data before it can be used in different applications
- This adds cost and slows the use of this valuable data





- Project Haystack Solution: A standardized methodology for describing data that makes it easier and more cost effective to analyze, visualize, and derive value from our operational data. *Open-source, no cost.*
- Think of it as a "MARKUP LANGUAGE" for data
 - Why can I point my browser at your website and read what you have published?
 - We didn't pre-arrange for me to be able to interpret your website code
 - It works because industry agreed on a mark up language (HTML)
 - If you use HTML I can read the "data" (text) on your website
 - Haystack does the same thing for device data



Project Haystack



- Analyze this: **zn3-wwfl4 = 76.2**
- Hmmmm... What does the number represent? Deg C, F, KW, kPa???
- Need to know units. Lets say it is Deg C
- Hmmmm... Is 76.2 Deg F OK?
- What is it? Zone temp, Return air temp, chilled water temp? Lets say it's a Zone
- What is the schedule for the space? Schedule #1 = 7:30 AM -6:30 PM
- What AHU is it served by? AHU-1
- What VAV box serves it? VAV-27
- How can I convey these answers in a standard way that other software can interpret?







Example of Haystack tags to describe a point in a system:

AHU1-SAT = sensor, discharge, air, temp, deg F, ahuRef -> AHU-1 Point Name descriptive tags association tag

Learn more about Project-Haystack.org here: <u>https://project-haystack.org/</u>



Project Proyect

BACNET COLLABORATION WITH HAYSTACK

RICHMOND, VA. (PRWEB) MARCH 02, 2018

ASHRAE's BACnet Committee, Project Haystack and Brick Schema Collaborating to Provide Unified Data Semantic Modeling Solution

 Formal collaboration to integrate Haystack tagging and Brick data modeling concepts into the proposed ASHRAE Standard 223P for semantic tagging of building data.

ASHRAE Standard 223P: "Designation and Classification of Semantic Tags for Building Data" provides a dictionary of semantic tags for descriptive tagging of building data including building automation and control data along with associated systems.

By integrating Haystack tagging and Brick data modeling concepts with the upcoming ASHRAE Standard 223P, the result is intended to enable interoperability on semantic information across the building industry, particularly in building automation.

http://www.prweb.com/releases/2018/03/prweb15264563.htm

Project 🏷 Haystack

RSS

Step 1: Normalizing Data – the Time factor

Different time stamps and different semantic information (descriptive information)

Historian functions address differing timestamps to enable users to see meaningful trends

Sample csv file showing different time stamps for differing data <

| | A | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | J | К | L | Μ | Ν | 0 | Р | Q |
|----|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| 1 | Timestamp | Gaithersbur | g Gaithersburg | Gaithersbur | g Gaithersburg | Washington | , Gaithersbu | rg Gaithersburg | Gaithersbu | rg Gaithersburg | Gaithersburg | Gaithersbur | g Gaithersburg | Gaithersbur | Gaithersburg | g RTU-1 Zonel | ſempSp |
| 2 | 2019-01-22T00:00:00-05:00 New_York | FALSE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2019-01-22T11:15:00-05:00 New_York | | | | | | FALSE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 2019-01-22T12:00:00-05:00 New_York | | | FALSE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 2019-01-22T13:00:00-05:00 New_York | | | | | | | | | | | FALSE | | | | | |
| 6 | 2019-01-22T21:15:00-05:00 New_York | | | | | | | | | | | | | FALSE | | | |
| 7 | 2019-01-22T23:00:00-05:00 New_York | | | | | 49 ° F | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 2019-01-22T23:45:00-05:00 New_York | | 67.06527709 | 960938°F | 0.05000000 | 74505806inH | I,ÇÇO | 67.06527709 | 10kW | 69.10281372 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 9 | 2019-01-23T00:00:00-05:00 New_York | FALSE | 66.99794769 | FALSE | 0.079999998 | 21186066inH | FALSE | 58.08896255 | 6kW | 69.01850891 | 0% | FALSE | | FALSE | 60°F | | |
| 10 | 2019-01-23T00:15:00-05:00 New_York | | 66.78258514 | 404297 ° F | 0.05000000 | 74505806inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.98020553 | 10kW | 68.78629302 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 11 | 2019-01-23T00:30:00-05:00 New_York | | 66.59963989 | 257812°F | 0.079999998 | 21186066inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.88782119 | 15kW | 68.55690002 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 12 | 2019-01-23T00:45:00-05:00 New_York | | 66.41918182 | 373047°F | 0.07000000 | 29802322inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.79668426 | 15kW | 68.33055877 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 13 | 2019-01-23T01:00:00-05:00 New_York | | 66.24111175 | 53711°F | 0.059999998 | 65889549inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.70676422 | 11kW | 68.10723114 | 0% | | 11.5kWh | | 60°F | | |
| 14 | 2019-01-23T01:15:00-05:00 New_York | | 66.06541442 | 871094°F | 0.07000000 | 29802322inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.61803436 | 13kW | 67.88686370 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 15 | 2019-01-23T01:30:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.89205169 | 677734°F | 0.059999998 | 65889549inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.53048706 | 17kW | 67.66942596 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 16 | 2019-01-23T01:45:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.72099304 | 199219°F | 0.059999998 | 65889549inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.44410324 | 10kW | 67.45487976 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 17 | 2019-01-23T02:00:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.55220794 | 677734°F | 0.05000000 | 49°F | | 57.35886383 | 9kW | 67.24317932 | 0% | | 12.75kWh | | 60°F | | |
| 18 | 2019-01-23T02:15:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.43650054 | 93164°F | 0.05000000 | 74505806inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.42417907 | 89kW | 67.03513336 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 19 | 2019-01-23T02:30:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.32614135 | 742188°F | 0.05000000 | 74505806inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.49220275 | 13kW | 66.83316802 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 20 | 2019-01-23T02:45:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.22057342 | 529297°F | 0.059999998 | 65889549inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.56264114 | 9kW | 66.63720703 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 21 | 2019-01-23T03:00:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.11973571 | 777344°F | 0.079999998 | 21186066inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.63546371 | 16kW | 66.44717407 | 0% | | 30kWh | | 60°F | | |
| 22 | 2019-01-23T03:15:00-05:00 New_York | | 65.02355194 | 091797°F | 0.079999998 | 21186066inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.71064376 | 11kW | 66.26298522 | 0% | | | | 60 -∞ F | | |
| 23 | 2019-01-23T03:30:00-05:00 New_York | | 64.93197631 | 835938°F | 0.05000000 | 74505806inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.78814697 | 7kW | 66.08457183 | 0% | | | | 60°F | | |
| 24 | 2019-01-23T03:45:00-05:00 New_York | | 64.84493255 | 615234°F | 0.059999998 | 65889549inH | I,ÇÇO | 57.86794281 | 82kW | 65.91184997 | 0% | | | | 60 ¬ ∞F | | |

Step 1: Normalizing Data – the Time factor

Historian functions correlate the data and show operators seamless trends across data with varying sampling frequency



Use Case: Analyzing and Visualizing Energy Data **Baselines**



Use Case: Analyzing and Visualizing Energy Data **Baselines**

View and analyze energy against baseline using past data, calculated baselines, model based baseline data

| ■ Demo ∨ Y ▼ Usage Operation Profile Swivel Tariff All Sites Select ▼ < Week of 16-Dec-2018 > Options | su 🔪 | Baseline comparison as a Delta |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|
| Elec Consumption • Δ Sum over 24hr • Normalize by Area, Degree-Day • Baseline Prev Month | | |
| 0.05 kWh/ft²/*daysF | | Baseline view |
| 0.03 kWh/ft³/*daysF | | |
| | | |
| -0.01 kWh/ft?/*daysF | | |
| -0.03 kWh/ft²/*daysF | | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S |
| Sun 16th Mon 17th Tue 18th Wed 19th Thu 20th Fri 21st Sat 22n | Jd | Sun 23rd |

Use Case: Analyzing and Visualizing Energy Data Normalization

Normalize energy data based on:

| Normalize by |
|-------------------------|
| By revenue per site |
| Normalize by Area |
| Normalize by Degree-Day |
| Clear Ok Cancel |

- Weather (degree days, temps, other)
- Building size
- Production factors:
- Occupancy actual or scheduled
- Revenue (restaurants)
- Unit production factories
- Site specific
- Normalization of model-generated energy data



Use Case: Analyzing and Visualizing Energy Data **Normalization**

| 📕 Demo 🗸 | | | | | | | 🗖 su 🗸 🖞 | SkySpark |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 4 - Usage | Operation Profile S | wivel Tariff | | | | | ∷ , | ★ ⊥ 🖿 |
| All Sites Select | ▼ < Week of 1 | 3-Jan-2019 > 0 | ptions | | | | | |
| | | Elec Consumption • | Sum over 10min • | Normalize by Area, De | egree-Day • Baseline Pr | ev Month | | |
| 0.007 kWh/ft²/°daysF | Carytown O Carytown | n Baseline 🛛 🛑 Gaithersburg | O Gaithersburg Baseline | Headquarters O Head | dquarters Brueline | | | _ |
| 0.006 kWh/ft²/*daysF | | | | | | | | |
| 0.005 kWh/ft²/°daysF | | | /// | 15-Jan-2019 Tue 5:32:04PM EST | • 0.005 kWh/ft²/*daysF | / | | |
| 0.004 kWh/ft²/°daysF | | | | Copy data as 🔻 Dow | vnload 🔻 | | | 4 |
| 0.003 kWh/ft²/°daysF | ŕ | | | Timestamp Carytown Baseline Carytown Gaithersburg Baseline Gaithersburg | 15-Jan-2019 Tue 5:32:04PM 0.005 kWh/ft²/*daysF 0.002 kWh/ft²/*daysF 0.002 kWh/ft²/*daysF 0.001 kWh/ft²/*daysF | EST | | |
| 0.002 kWh/ft²/°daysF | ~~~~ | 12mg | - Mo | Headquarters Baseline Headquarters | 0.00016 kWh/ft²/°daysF 0.000087 kWh/ft²/°daysF | | my ···· | 110 |
| 0.001 kWh/ft²/*daysF | MAN . | | Kan ha | this | | Vision | Marin | A |
| 0 kWh/ft²/°daysF Sun | 13th M | on 14th Tu | e 15th | Wed 16th | Thu 17th | Fri 18th | Sat 19th | Sun 20th |



Use Case: Combining Energy and Operational Data

Provides visibility to understand the impact of equipment operation on energy use and energy cost based on tariff calculations

Enables operators to more effectively identify and justify maintenance priorities, capital expenditures and ECMs to improve facility performance and reduce operational costs.





Use Case: Impact of Tariff Rates on Energy Data

Complex tariff rates add additional complexity and need for analytic tools

Can have substantial impact on energy costs – *example:* you can use more energy for less money if you use it at the right time

"Tariff engine" capability can turn consumption and demand data into actual costs for evaluation of investments, control strategies and reporting



| Timestamp | 12-Nov-2018 Mon 4:57:48AM ES |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Winter On-Peak Demand | \$1,527 |
| Woodly Park ElecMeter-Main kWh | 204 kWh |
| Woodly Park ElecMeter-Main kW | 244 kW |
| Winter On-Peak Consumption | \$91.99 |
| Winter Off-Peak Consumption | \$69.63 Sector Main KW |
| Winter Off-Peak Demand | \$0 |
| Rittenhouse ElecMeter-Main kW | 103 kW |
| Rittenhouse ElecMeter-Main kWh | 102 kWh |
| Generation kWh | \$213.33 |
| Distribution kWh | \$106.66 |
| Demand | \$0 |
| Short Pump ElecMeter-Main kWh | 86.25 kWh |
| Short Pump ElecMeter-Main kW | 79 kW |
| Generation kWh | \$260.93 |
| Distribution kWh | \$130.46 |
| Demand | \$0 |



Impact of Tariff Rates

Rate Modeling. The ability to capture the various charges that make up an electric rate. Costs for energy go beyond simple consumption (kWh) and demand (kW). Typical charges can include:

- Consumption
- Demand
- Time of Use including both time of day and monthly use factors
- Service and equipment charges (fixed rate and % based)
- Distribution and Generation charges
- Minimum contract charges
- Ratchets
- Ranges (or blocks)
- Custom charges which can be expressed as math functions
- Definition of billing periods (including variable billing periods)

Once the charges are defined a Tariff Engine calculates energy costs based on the charges and actual energy consumption data. Analytic rules and energy analysis algorithms can use those to calculate costs associated with issues detected in the operation of equipment systems providing precise calculation of costs associated with the use, and misuse, of energy resources.



Impact of Tariff Rates on Energy Data





Automated Analytics: Beyond Manual Analysis The Role of Analytics in Detection of Faults, Deviations, Anomalies, Performance Drift, Loss of Efficiency

Do we know how our building systems really operate?





Detecting Faults, Deviations, Anomalies, Loss of Efficiency



"If I have a computer-based building automation system things must be running properly..."

Right ????

Lots of Technology... But Still a Big Challenge...



Who's watching to make sure?

- Who verifies that what they are doing is right?
- That control strategies were well designed?
- That assumptions were (are) correct?
- That they are still running as expected... haven't been interfered with or overridden a common problem
- That sensors and other devices have not degraded, performance hasn't drifted...
- Buildings are too complex for this to be done solely by humans
- Too much data... systems too complex...





Analytics Provides the Solution

- Automatically scans your data to detect patterns
- Automatically generates views on issues detected
- From portfolio summaries to equipment detail views
- Continuous, ongoing analysis based on your domain knowledge – an ever-present expert





Use Case: Detecting Faults, Deviations, Anomalies, Loss of Efficiency

Available Data Influences Effective Analytic Rules

- The analytic rules you can deploy are always related to the available data available so that's the first question to answer what data is available?
- For example, if we have only energy consumption data available (KW and KWh), rules can be used to identify patterns representing issues like buildings running 24 hours a day, starting early or running too late, load profiles, and demand peak patterns



Examples of Common Analytic Rules

- Detect improper operation of economizers
- Identifying simultaneous Heating and Cooling
- Short Cycling, Long Cycling, Excessive Mode Transitions
- Issues with Zone or Room Thermostat Dead-bands
- Non-functioning sensors
- Comfort Conditioning Performance
- Schedules not being followed (identify via metered energy use, air flow, equipment status and other means)
- Loss of heat transfer efficiency delta between return and supply is less than threshold or design



Example: Detail on Economizer Operation Rule

Issues:

1. Non-modulating damper



- 2. Temperature sensor problems (including missing/out of range sensor values)
- 3. Economizer operating when it should not
- 4. Economizer not operating when it should
- 5. Ventilation greater than needed
- 6. Inadequate ventilation

Required data:

- Mixed air, return air, and outdoor-air temperatures (enthalpies for enthalpy-controlled economizers)
- Damper signal,
- Supply fan on/off status, and
- Heating and cooling on/off status or heating and cooling valve signal
- The measured data can be at any interval but preferably 1-minute (1-minute, 5-minute, half-hourly, or hourly, etc.)



Presenting Results Detected by Analytics: **Timelines** – Show Operating Patterns of Faults/Issues

| 📑 Demo 🗸 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ſ | su | \sim | SkyS | park |
|--------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-------|--------|------|-------|-------|---------|------|--------------|-----------|----------|------|------|
| 🚍 🚽 🛛 Swiv | el | Table Equip | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ★ ⊥ | L 🖪 |
| All Sites S | elect | ▼ | > | Rules | Option | s | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site | F | Rule | Duration | | Cost | 1a 2a | 3a 4a | 5a 6a | 7a 8a | 9a 10a 1 | 1a 12p | 1p 2p | 3р 4р | 5p 6 | 5p 7p | 8p 9p | 10p 11p | p Eq | uips | | | | |
| Carytown | > (| i) Temp Sensor Failure | | 24hr | | | | | | | | | | · | | | | (j | Carytown | RTU-1 | | | > |
| Chevy Chase | > (| i) KW Exceeds Target | | 10.75hr | \$483.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | Chevy Ch | ase Elec | Meter-N | lain | > |
| Fairhill | > (| i) KW Exceeds Target | | 1hr | \$45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | () | Fairhill Ele | ecMeter- | Main | | > |
| | (| i) Lights On and Unoccupied | | 10hr | \$24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | Fairhill Ma | ain Light | s | | > |
| Gaithersburg | > (| i) AHU Cool-Heat Mode Cycling | | 2hr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | () | Gaithersb | urg RTU | 1 | | > |
| | (| i) AHU Fan Short Cycling | | 3hr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | x 2 | | | | |
| | (| i) AHU On and Fan Off | | 30min | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | (i | Gaithersb | urg RTU | 2 | | > |
| | (| AULI Autoida Dampar Stuck Apan | | 1 25hr | | _ | | | | | | (| | |) | | | () | Gaithersb | urg RTU | 1 | | > |
| | (| Damper should be closed, but ter | np differentia | l between | mixed air s | sensor | | | | | | | \sim | | | | | (j | Gaithersb | urg Elec | Meter-N | lain | > |
| Headquarters | > (| and return air sensor indicates th | at significant | outside a | ir is being r | nixed. | | | | | | | | | | | | () | Headquar | ters Elec | Meter-M | Main | > |
| Rittenhouse | > (| unit is not cooling nor heating. | e use dischar | rge senso | r but only w | nen | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | Rittenhou | se RTU-1 | | | > |
| | (| Decommended Actions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (ì | Rittenhou | se Main | Lights | | > |
| Short Pump | > (| Recommended Actions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | () | Short Pun | np ElecN | leter-Ma | ain | > |
| | (| Look to see if damper con Look to see if damper is h | trol signal is o eing comman | oscillating | during tim | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | Short Pun | np Main | Lights | | > |
| Woodly Park | > (| sparks are found | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | Woodly P | ark RTU- | 1 | | > |
| | (| Manually check damper to | see if linkag | e is broke | n or stuck | | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | Woodly P | ark RTU- | 1 | | > |
| | (| Priority: Medium | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (j | x 2 | | | | |
| | (| 1 | - | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | () | Woodly P | ark Elect | Neter-M | ain | > |



Presenting Results of Issues Detected by Analytics: **Bubble Charts** – Show the magnitude of various factors (duration, cost, frequency)

| 📑 Demo 🗸 | | | | | | | F | su 🗸 🛛 Sky | Spark |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------|
| 📰 – Swivel Table I | Equip | | | | | | | ≡ ★ | ⊥ 🖿 |
| All Sites Select 🔻 < | Dec-2018 | B > Rule | Options | | | | | | |
| All Sites | | | | | | | | | |
| AHU Cool-Heat Mode Cycling | AHU Fan Failure | AHU Fan Short Cycling | AHU Group Cool and Heat | AHU On and Fan Off | AHU Outside Damper Stuck Open | KW Exceeds Target | Lights On and Unoccupied | Temp Sensor F | ailure |
| 41.75hr | | 76hr | 2.25hr | 20.25hr | | 170.5hr | | | |
| | | | | | | 223.75hr | | • | 24hr |
| 48.25hr | | 88.5hr | 1hr | 19.5hr | 3.75hr | 174.75hr | | | |
| | | | | 9.75hr | 3hr | 150.75hr | 76.5hr | | |
| | 133hr | | | | | | 127.5hr | | 528hr |
| | 145.25hr | | | | | | 13.75hr | | 1008ŀ |
| | | | | | | 225.5hr | | • | 24hr |
| | | • 30min | | 7.25hr | 5hr | 137.25hr | 114.75hr | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



More Tools of the Trade: Key Performance Indicators – **KPI's**

| Demo 🗸 | | | | | | Г | su∨ SkySpark |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Swivel Table | | - | | | | | ÷ ★ ± |
| All Sites Select ▼ < | Today > Rules Op | tions | | | | | |
| All Sites | | | | Last at a construction of a second | 111-11-11/12 | 7 | 7 |
| Carytown > 12.42hr | 58 kW 323 kW 3,502 kWr | 0.046 | -59.2 | 0.00076 0.004 | 18.42 W/ft ² 103 W/ft ² | 58.63 °F | -5.425 Δ°F |
| Chevy Chase > 9.92hr | 78 kW 605 kW 6,198 kW | • 0.002 | -24.73 | 0.000023 0.00018 | 0.554 W/ft ² 4.297 W/ft ² | 68.82 °F | 2.817 Δ*F |
| Fairhill > 16.09hr | 85 kW 456 kW 4,363 kWf | 0.011 | -42.7 | 0.00021 0.001 | 4.964 W/ft ² 26.63 W/ft ² | 66.46 °F | 2.046 Δ°F |
| Gaithersburg > 17.59hr | 84 kW 447 kW 4,651 kWh | 0.022 | -29.97 | 0.00040.002 | 0 10.48 W/ft² 55.78 W/ft² | 67.37 °F | 0.858 Δ*F |
| Headquarters > 9.92hr | 91 kW 639 kW 6,665 kWh | • 0.002 | 9.453 | 0.000027 0.00019 | ◎ 0.646 W/ft ² 4.538 W/ft ² | 68.82 °F | 2.818 Δ*F |
| Rittenhouse > 7.92hr | dis | | -42.73 | 0.000760.004 | 18.42 W/ft ² 86.69 W/ft ² | 68.62 °F | 3.885 Δ°F |
| Short Pump > 15.83hr | 🗹 😑 AHU Fan Ru | ntime | 4.479 | 0.00036 0.001 | 0 7.301 W/ft ² 26.22 W/ft ² | 68.83 °F | 4.05 Δ°F |
| Woodly Park > 17.59hr | 🕑 🔴 Watts/ft² | | -60.75 | 0.000470.002 | 12.35 W/ft² 65.02 W/ft² | 67.93 °F | 1.689 Δ°F |
| | 🕑 🔴 ZoneTemp C |)cc Avg | | | | | |
| | 🗷 🛑 ZoneTemp 🛽 | Sp | | | | | |
| Examples: | 🗹 🔵 kW | | (| an he v | irtually an | v math | |
| | 🖉 😑 kW Norm (k) | N/ft²/°daysF) | | | | ymath | |
| | 🖉 🥚 kWh | | r | elationsh | nip: sum, r | ange | 5 H C . |
| | | (Wb/ft2/°dovoE) | C | of sums | average | delta | ENO CAT, |
| | | (wil/it-/ uaysr) | | | a orago, (| | |
| | 💌 🔵 kWh 🛆 Prev | Year (kWh/°day: | sF) | | | | F-1103 |





EDUC EDUC

- An alarm is when you are on the gurney in the ER Analytics are the lab tests you take every year to stay out of the ER
- Alarms require that you fully understand the issue ahead of time so you could set them up – have to be preprogrammed *Analytics find patterns & issues you couldn't have foreseen Can be added at anytime*
- Controller-based alarms deal with control system data
 Analytics combine operational, energy, production, facility
 and corporate data to show patterns and correlations across
 your portfolio of device data
 - Correlation examples equipment type, age, material, vendor, weather effects, production factors, etc

Alarms vs Analytics Understanding the Differences



- An alarm is a value compared to a limit "now" Analytics look at patterns or signatures in the data – and can include multiple data sets from different systems over different time periods
- Alarms require "touching" the end device programming Analytics allows you to add rules as your understanding increases WITHOUT needing to reprogram the end device
- Alarms often "cascade" overwhelming operators Analytics can often replace majority of non-productive alarms – they better explain what is happening and why



Analysis vs Analytics

• An analysis: Generate a graph of energy consumption across a specific time. A user would then look to discern patterns, such as peaks or toughs and their duration



Analytics Ez

consumption across a specific period of time. This enables users to see how equipment operation influences energy consumption patterns



But Analytics Don't Save Money !!!



Getting Value from Data Analytics: The Last Mile

- To get value from data analytics organizations need to be prepared to act on the results
- If I could walk into your building and magically detect 100 problems could you address them?
- How quickly? What if they require capital \$
- What if they would exceed your planned budget?
- Even if they had a 1 month payback?





Analytics Are Not a Thing



Analytics Is a Journey

- Applying analytics to building systems is not like simply buying new equipment with lower energy consumption
- Not possible to calculate the exact savings ahead of time – can you sell that?
- Don't look at it as an "install it and forget it solution"
- Analytics are a tool enables us to see how building systems are really performing
- Identifies faults, deviations from expected performance, anomalies...
- All of which represent opportunities for savings...
- ...But require action to achieve benefit

lts not an LED

lightbulb!



CEU Questions

- 1. Name 3 methods for acquiring data from building systems
- 2. What does a Tariff engine do to energy consumption data
- 3. Choose all correct answers:

Project-Haystack.org provides:

A) a standard naming convention for BAS points

B) a standard metadata approach to represent the meaning of data items gathered from different systems

C) a standard for communication to acquire building data

- 4. Name 2 common energy related KPI's
- 5. Name 2 common rules applied to air handlers
- 6. True or False: Analytic savings can be calculated in the same way as installation of LED lightbulbs
- 7. True or False: It is possible to determine whether a building is operating according to an occupancy schedule by analyzing interval meter data
- 8. True or False: Analytics is simply another name for alarms typically found in a BAS



This concludes The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems Course

Using Data Analytics to Automate and Enhance the Commissioning Process

Contact Information:

John Petze, Principal, Co-Founder

SkyFoundry

www.skyfoundry.com

john@skyfoundry.com

acg

